

# CRC Infrastructure Sub-Committee

## Project Identification Template and Instructions

### Project Identification Template

**Instructions:** Please complete all of the information requested with the best information you have available. Limited attachments are acceptable if necessary to adequately describe the project but the **total length should be limited to 6 pages** one-sided (including attachments). This Identification Template is intended as a preliminary mechanism by which proposals and projects to improve the resiliency of Coastal Alabama are solicited and captured with some consistency of format, scope definition, and project benefits and impact. **This is only a first step: proposals and projects will not be funded based upon this submittal. Further information and details will be solicited at such time as the screening and funding process is more fully defined.**

Responses should be received by **December 7, 2010**, to be included in the appendix the Coastal Recovery Commission Report to the Governor to be submitted December 15, 2010. Submittals after that date will be accepted for consideration but will not be included in the Project Appendix.

Completed Templates may be submitted:

- Electronically (.pdf preferred) to: [crcalabama.templateresponse.com](http://crcalabama.templateresponse.com).
- By US mail to: Coastal Recovery Commission.

P.O. Box 881, Mobile, AL 36601-0881

### I. What – Project Information/Basic Facts

1. Project Scope Eco Restoration/Dredging of Langan Park Lake (Municipal Park)
2. Project duration or schedule by phase and status of any work in progress 18-36 months (Totals)  
6 months (Planning Engineering) 12-24 months (Construction)
  - 2.1. Conceptual and Feasibility Planning, Engineering, Construction See above
3. Estimated Cost (plus or minus 30%) \$8 Million
  - 3.1. Indicate level of confidence in accuracy of these estimates 85%

## II. Why – Project Description relative to Impact and Criteria

1. Identify what need, threat or opportunity that this project, study, or recommendation will address  
This is a major outfall for multiple watersheds; this project has the ability to improve water quality, aquatic habitat and recreational use.
2. How does this project or recommendation address and impact the recommended evaluation criteria:
  - 2.1.1. Coastal Recovery This project will aide in improving water quality in coastal water and reduce down stream sediment load.
  - 2.1.2. Resiliency This project helps with resiliency in that it is part of the City's drainage system.
  - 2.1.3. Transformational The project will help transform the lake back into a recreational asset to City/Region, currently the lake is full of sediment and has diminished uses.
  - 2.1.4. Regionalism There is a major park that is used by the entire region for a variety of events.
  - 2.1.5. Economic Diversification This project affects engineering, surveying, marine, tourism, and other industries.
3. Project Economics The estimated cost of this project is \$8,000,000.
4. Identify Direct Project benefits to Coastal Alabama, including avoided costs, consequence of "No Build" alternative. "No build" equals continued degeneration of water quality, loss of wetland habitat
  - 4.1. Impact on employment, job training and development, both short term and permanent Immediate to environmental/engineering disciplines. Medium term to dredge/marine contractors.
  - 4.2. Oil spill mitigation outside of claims process \_\_\_\_\_
5. Identify Indirect benefits and costs
  - 5.1. Collateral Benefits to the objectives of Healthy Environment, Healthy Economy and Healthy Society (subjective responses allowed) This project will improve all of the above (cleaner water, positive impacts to the marine/fishing industries, better recreational opportunities, higher property values, greater tax revenue)

5.2. Collateral Costs or impacts to the objectives of Healthy Environment, Healthy Economy and Healthy Society ( subjective responses allowed) This project is 100% positive.

5.3. Connectivity and Linkage to other projects or initiatives: Does this project complement or compete with other projects? What other projects would be precluded if this project is funded? This project has been needed for a long time and can stand on its own merit.

### III. Who/How – General Information

1. Name and contact information for Entity, Collaboration or Person submitting project or recommendation nomination. City of Mobile – Nick Amberger, PE, City Engineer 205 Government St., Mobile, AL 36633, 251-208-7426, nick.amberger@cityofmobile.org This project would collaborate with federal, state, environmental agencies and groups.

*1.1. Entities and communities sharing a common threat or need are encouraged to collaborate for a joint/combined project submittal to raise the profile of the issue and solution to be addressed. Also please indicate the level of community support or resistance and hurdles to collaboration.*

2. Identify Sponsoring Entity for oversight and accountability if different from above.

2.1. Existing or to be created? Same as above

2.1.1. If to be created, what parties or interests must be involved and what level of effort is required to do so? \_\_\_\_\_

2.2. Describe governance, organizational capacity, availability of skills, experience of sponsoring entity to implement the Project City of Mobile, highly capable of implementing

2.3. Project complexity: Hurdles and barriers to project implementation, completion and sustainability. Identify regulatory issues. This project would reduce minimal permitting.

3. Identify any known or anticipated administrative, regulatory, or legislative action that would be required at either the local, state, or federal governmental level. None

4. Requested funding from Coastal Recovery Fund (CRF) \$8 Million

5. Identified potential funding sources other than the CRF None

5.1. Leverage or multiplier on CRF investment: matching funds, public or private \_\_\_\_\_

5.2. Public Private Opportunities, user fees, Federal funds, private foundation grants, bonding capacity, etc. Possibility of federal assistance

6. Forecast of ongoing maintenance or operating costs and source of funding if not self sustaining  
Little or none. Any cost to be covered by the City of Mobile