

CRC Infrastructure Sub-Committee

Project Identification Template and Instructions

Project Identification Template

Instructions: Please complete all of the information requested with the best information you have available. Limited attachments are acceptable if necessary to adequately describe the project but the **total length should be limited to 6 pages** one-sided (including attachments). This Identification Template is intended as a preliminary mechanism by which proposals and projects to improve the resiliency of Coastal Alabama are solicited and captured with some consistency of format, scope definition, and project benefits and impact. **This is only a first step: proposals and projects will not be funded based upon this submittal. Further information and details will be solicited at such time as the screening and funding process is more fully defined.**

Responses should be received by **December 7, 2010**, to be included in the appendix the Coastal Recovery Commission Report to the Governor to be submitted December 15, 2010. Submittals after that date will be accepted for consideration but will not be included in the Project Appendix.

Completed Templates may be submitted:

- Electronically (.pdf preferred) to: crcalabama.templateresponse@gmail.com
- By US mail to: Coastal Recovery Commission,
P.O. Box 881, Mobile, AL 36601-0881

I. What – Project Information/Basic Facts

1. Project Scope Construct a City of Mobile Regional Recycling Center
2. Project duration or schedule by phase and status of any work in progress 24-36 Months (Total)
12 Months (Planning/Engineering) 12-24 Months (Construction)
 - 2.1. Conceptual and Feasibility Planning, Engineering, Construction See above
3. Estimated Cost (plus or minus 30%) \$8 million
 - 3.1. Indicate level of confidence in accuracy of these estimates 85%

II. Why – Project Description relative to Impact and Criteria

1. Identify what need, threat or opportunity that this project, study, or recommendation will address This project would create an opportunity to have a modern recycling center in the expanding West Mobile area. Its construction would reduce burdens on landfills and help to reduce improper disposal of materials.
2. How does this project or recommendation address and impact the recommended evaluation criteria:
 - 2.1.1.Coastal Recovery This facility would greatly facilitate post event recovery, additionally it would be a year round facility
 - 2.1.2.Resiliency This would be a support facility
 - 2.1.3.Transformational This would be a support facility that would also serve to help educate the importance of recycling
 - 2.1.4.Regionalism It is believed a facility of this nature would reach beyond Mobile to help with recycling efforts and education
 - 2.1.5.Economic Diversification Open opportunities for new businesses that would utilize recovered materials.
3. Project Economics \$8,000,000
4. Identify Direct Project benefits to Coastal Alabama, including avoided costs, consequence of “No Build” alternative. Reduced landfill impact, better educational opportunities concerning recycling, no build would be continued status quo
 - 4.1. Impact on employment, job training and development, both short term and permanent Immediate for Engineering/Construction Long term for operations of facility and new businesses
 - 4.2. Oil spill mitigation outside of claims process _____
5. Identify Indirect benefits and costs
 - 5.1. Collateral Benefits to the objectives of Healthy Environment, Healthy Economy and Healthy Society (subjective responses allowed) Reduced landfill impact, opportunities to educate the public on benefits of recycling, proper disposal of various materials.
 - 5.2. Collateral Costs or impacts to the objectives of Healthy Environment, Healthy Economy and Healthy Society (subjective responses allowed) All positive impacts.

5.3. Connectivity and Linkage to other projects or initiatives: Does this project complement or compete with other projects? What other projects would be precluded if this project is funded? This project complements other requested projects but can stand on its own merit.

III. Who/How – General Information

1. Name and contact information for Entity, Collaboration or Person submitting project or recommendation nomination. City of Mobile – Nick Amberger, PE, City Engineer 205 Government St., Mobile, AL 36633, 251-208-7426, nick.amberger@cityofmobile.org

1.1. Entities and communities sharing a common threat or need are encouraged to collaborate for a joint/combined project submittal to raise the profile of the issue and solution to be addressed. Also please indicate the level of community support or resistance and hurdles to collaboration.

2. Identify Sponsoring Entity for oversight and accountability if different from above.

2.1. Existing or to be created? Same as above

2.1.1. If to be created, what parties or interests must be involved and what level of effort is required to do so? _____

2.2. Describe governance, organizational capacity, availability of skills, experience of sponsoring entity to implement the Project City of Mobile – Fully capable of implementing project

2.3. Project complexity: Hurdles and barriers to project implementation, completion and sustainability. Identify regulatory issues. Location of suitable piece of property – no other known hurdles or barriers

3. Identify any known or anticipated administrative, regulatory, or legislative action that would be required at either the local, state, or federal governmental level. None

4. Requested funding from Coastal Recovery Fund (CRF) \$8.0 million

5. Identified potential funding sources other than the CRF None

5.1. Leverage or multiplier on CRF investment: matching funds, public or private None

5.2. Public Private Opportunities, user fees, Federal funds, private foundation grants, bonding capacity, etc. None

6. Forecast of ongoing maintenance or operating costs and source of funding if not self sustaining The City of Mobile would pay for any maintenance/operational cost; however, we believe this facility would be self sustaining if not profitable.